AMNAYA

AMNAYA / PLANNING & EXECUTION

Seven decision gates

A staged commitment framework: what the owner needs to see and what must prevent continuation.

REFERENCE 03 | Version 1.0 | 5 November 2026
Parametric planning reference. All default quantities, budgets, prices and chart data are illustrative. They are not project performance, approved spending, adopted policy or an investment offer.

Gate	Example date	Cumulative base budget
7 - Mandate	11 Nov 2026	3%
21 - Problem worth solving	25 Nov 2026	13%
42 - Design worth testing	16 Dec 2026	33%
56 - Permission to pilot	30 Dec 2026	49%
70 - Evidence from the pilot	13 Jan 2027	69%
84 - Resilience & correction	27 Jan 2027	82%
100 - Next commitment	12 Feb 2027	100%

Cumulative allocation describes planned base work through the gate. It is not an automatic release of funds. Every decision records scope, conditions, authority, unresolved findings and the next review date.

Evidence and stop conditions

Day 7 / Mandate

Evidence: One scoped decision brief; three hypotheses; three institutional leads and a decision owner; policy and risk registers.

Decision test: Scope, authority and exclusions are explicit. No field work, expenditure or personal-data collection outside the approved mandate.

Day 21 / Problem worth solving

Evidence: Eight-source baseline, 36 interviews across 3 segments, five alternatives and recorded contradictory evidence.

Decision test: The decision owner can explain the need and alternatives without relying on a single interested source. Qualitative interview quotas are not a statistically representative market estimate.

Day 42 / Design worth testing

Evidence: Three solutions compared; 3 comparable quotes; three economic scenarios; two independent reviews; one versioned protocol.

Decision test: Budget and uncertainty are visible. Measurement and rejection criteria are declared before the pilot, and live activity remains conditional on Gate 56.

Day 56 / Permission to pilot

Evidence: Ten instrumented test cases; ten failure checks; permission/licence evidence; participant/data controls; recovery rehearsal.

Decision test: Zero unresolved critical safety or policy obligations. A regulator's permission cannot be replaced by a commercial risk allowance or internal checklist.

Evidence and stop conditions

Day 70 / Evidence from the pilot

Evidence: 100 evaluation units across two cohorts; original baseline; complete denominators; costs and exceptions.

Decision test: Evaluate the configured targets: defects <= 5%; individual cycle time <= 8 hours; budget review at > 10% deviation. Missing evidence means revise or hold, not an automatic pass.

Day 84 / Resilience & correction

Evidence: Adverse-scenario analysis; a continuity and rollback rehearsal; at least ten fresh retest cases; verified corrective actions.

Decision test: The cause of material failures is explained, corrections are challenged independently, and remaining risks have named owners and an explicit acceptance decision.

Day 100 / Next commitment

Evidence: A traceable decision pack, policy position, independently reviewed claims, handover and a funded next-stage or orderly stop/hold plan.

Decision test: 100% of material claims have evidence references; all critical obligations are resolved before live continuation; the owner signs one of proceed, revise, hold or stop.

Decision record

Gate ID and date | evidence-pack version | reviewers and conflicts | findings | proceed/revise/hold/stop | authorised scope and budget | conditions and expiry | accountable owner signature. A missing source means an open issue, not a pass.

Open the decision gates